**Review Paper** 

# Review of Catalytic Processes Design and Modeling: Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit and Catalytic Reforming Unit

Mbinzi Kita Deddy Ngwanza<sup>a\*</sup>, Diakanua B. Nkazi <sup>b</sup>, Hugues S. Ngwanza<sup>c</sup>, Hembe E. Mukaya<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2000, South Africa.

<sup>b</sup>School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2000, South Africa.

<sup>c</sup>Département de Chimie et Métallurgie Appliquée, Institut Supérieur des Techniques Appliquées, Lubumbashi, République Démocratique du Congo.

<sup>d</sup>School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 2000, South Africa.

\*Corresponding Author: Mbinzi Kita Deddy Ngwanza

#### ABSTRACT

Catalytic processes are involved in different sectors that influence human life, world economy and environment. Different daily used products depend on catalytic processes: fuel, energy, plastics, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals products, etc. Considering the wide spread application of catalytic processes, and knowing that transport and environment are priority for some researches; this paper is focus on production of fuel (especially gasoline), that needs two important catalytic processes unit: Fluid catalytic cracking and catalytic reforming. Studies and development of design and modeling of fluid catalytic cracking and catalytic reforming were reviewed in this paper. At last, some paths were lighted in aim to pursue a design and modeling study further.

*Keywords:* Catalytic process, Fluid catalytic cracking (FCC), Catalytic reforming (CR), design, modeling, Gasoline.

### **INTRODUCTION**

It has been many centuries since the catalyst technology was used in wide sectors. Firstly used in 1875 in production of sulfuric acid, catalyst usage have been developed in several fields such in production of nitric acid (1903), ammonia synthesis (1908-1914), catalytic cracking process (1935-1940) that change the energy evolution, catalytic hydrocarbon process (reforming in 1950) and hydrotreating (1960)(Guwahati, 2014).

With the propriety of not altered reversible of equilibrium of reactions, and to accelerate both forward and reverse reactions, the presence of catalyst can result

in different product distribution. That is why we have operation such as decomposition some molecules and reforming of others. Gas and oil is one of the sector need the most such properties held by catalyst. In fact. refining and petrochemical in industries, presence of catalyst is a very important in reforming process for producing high octane gasoline, aromatic feedstock and hydrogen in petroleum (Hu, Su and Chu, 2002). And the process of catalytic cracking is used to convert highermolecular-weight hydrocarbons to lighter.

E-ISSN: 2349-9788; P-ISSN: 2454-2237

As ensure by Sadeghbeigi (2012), amongst conversion processes cracking is the key unit used in modern refinery. The

primitive way used to crack petroleum crude oil was the thermal cracking was, but because increasing of gasoline production and the need of higher octane number, it has been replaced by catalytic cracking (Hug, 1998). More valuable products are obtained during fluid catalytic cracking of crude oil such as gasoline, olefin compounds having a (Han, Riggs and Chung, 2000; Barbosa, Lopes, Rosa, Mori and Martignoni, 2013).

Another process that is important for conversion of low-octane naphtha into highoctane without any change of carbon numbers in the molecule, is the catalytic reforming; it has high yield of aromatics petroleum-refining production in and petrochemical industries (Liang, Guo and Pan, 2005; Taskar, 1996). A couple of conversion reactions (dehydrogenation, dehydrocyclization, isomerization) occur in the process and there is also by-products such as hydrogen and lighter hydrocarbons. A good reforming feed must have high naphtene and aromatic hydrocarbon content.

To this reach paper goal investigation have been made on different methodologies used by researchers to design both unit FCC and CR. That includes the investigation on the data that must be provided to assist designer. Knowing that has been developed simulation and improved during the last decade in refining industry, survey of modeling method was done on some studies.

## 1. PROCESS

## > FCCU process

Through FCC unit process, crude oil is mixed with a specific catalyst and then enters a fluidized bed reactor. About 45% of all gasoline contained in crude oil is extracted from FCC and ancillary units.

The catalyst used is zeolite catalyst which behaves like a liquid when it is properly aerated by gas (air) (Sadeghbeigi, 2012). During feed residence time in the reactor, reactions take place on the surface of zeolite and long molecules are cracked into lighter molecules. During cracking of long molecules, carbon and other noncracked organics components (hydrocarbon) get deposit over the catalyst causing its deactivation. To remove that from surface of catalyst, a stripping is done and produces spent catalyst which is taken to regenerator. In the generator the carbon is burned with air and the regenerated catalyst is then recirculated back into reactor beforehand mixing with fresh feed (Stephanopoulos, 1984).

Reactor and regenerator therefore constitute the central nerve of FCCU. Beside reactor and regenerator there is the riser. Through the riser a preheated feed enter and react with regenerated catalyst. The feed is then vaporized and cracking as soon as the vapor contacts the catalyst. The process is represented in the figure below.





# > CRU process

CRU is fed with Naphtha that passed through adequate hydrotreatment. During reforming, the fee pass over a slow moving bimetallic catalyst bed in a series of adiabatic reactors in presence of hydrogen under low pressure and high temperature conditions. The catalyst is continuously circulated and regenerated in a Regenerator. The product obtained is then stabilized and routed for blending in specific vessel. Some quantity of hydrogen rich gases produced in reformer is recycled to reformer and the rest is sent the naphtha hydrotreatment section or any unit that need hydrogen.



Figure 2. Catalytic reforming process (Raseev, 2003)

# 2. DESIGN OF CATALYTIC UNIT

Design projects have as goals to meet specific requirement and feasibility of a process by considering sustainability, economy and environment impact of the system build. This study has considered only the technical part which is determination of operating parameters. In the next sections, an accent will be put on variables that are base of each unit design.

# Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit

Different studies previously published (Arbel, Huang, Rinnard, Shinnar and Sarp, 1995; Grosdidier, Mason, Aitolhti, Heinnen and Vahamaki, 1993; Hovd and Skogested, 1993, Monge and Georgakis, 1987) have suggested several variables that influence FCC process. The following list is giving some of them:

- Measured variables: riser temperature, regenerator, temperature, reactor pressure, reactor pressure, wet gas compressor, regenerator pressure, reactor stripper, total air flow through the regenerator, etc.
- Manipulated variables: total feed rate, preheat temperature, catalyst circulation rates, combustion air flow rate, stack gas flow rate, stack gas flow rate, etc.
- Disturbance: Variations in feed coking characteristics, feed temperature changes, fluctuations in reactor, pressure, etc.

Among those variables, the major operating variables influencing production of FCC there are cracking temperature, catalyst/oil ratio, space velocity, catalyst type and activity. To these we can add the quality of the feed. Some of the previous terms are

defined (Rao, 1990; Gary and Handwerk, 2001; Delhi, 2013):

- Activity: It is the ability to crack a gas oil o lower boiling fractions.
- Catalyst/oil ratio:  $C_{0} = \frac{lb \text{ catalyst}}{lb \text{ feed}}$
- Conversion:
- Cycle stock: Portion of catalytic-cracker effluent not converted to naphtha and lighter products
- Efficiency: conversion
- Recycle ratio: volume recycle/volume of fresh feed
- Selectivity: It is the ratio of yield of desirable products to the yield of undesirable products (coke and gas)
- Space velocity: It may be defined on either LHSV (volume) or a WHSV (weight) basis.

LHSV  $[hr^{-1}]$ 

Catalyst design consists in calculation of weight and deactivation, and catalysts parameter and specifications as follows:

 Mass of the catalyst at any given time is given as follows:

 $m_{cat} = \rho_{Cat} V_{cat}$ Where: $V_{Cat} = t_c Q_{cat}$  $\rho_{Cat}$ : Density of the catalyst *V<sub>Cat</sub>*: Volume of the catalyst  $t_{C}$ : Residence time  $Q_{cat}$ : Flow rate of catalyst

$$\alpha = \alpha_o e^{\frac{E}{RT}}$$

Where:

 $\alpha_0$ : Catalyst deactivation coefficient at the entering temperature

 $\alpha$ : Catalyst deactivation coefficient at the exit temperature

Catalytic Reforming Unit

To obtain RON (Research Octane Number), there are two types of reactions that take place during reforming: Desirable reaction (dehydrogenation, dehydrocyclization, isomerization) which gives to higher octane number and to higher purity hydrogen production and adverse (hydrocracking, coking, hydrogenolysis, Conversion: 100 \* (volume of feed volume of cycle stock)/ hydroalkylation,...) reaction volumeaseseedtane number and the purity of hydrogen (Delhi, 2013).

> The quality and yield of reforming products are affected by following reaction variables: temperature, space velocity, reaction pressure, ratio H<sub>2</sub>/HC and feed stock quality (Litle, 1985; Raseev 2003; Mohan, 2011). The temperature is the most important operating parameter of reforming process because by simply raising or lowering reactor inlet temperature, operators can raise or lower the ON. The higher is pressure, the higher is rates of hydrocracking reducing reformate yield. Lower H<sub>2</sub>/HC ratio reduces energy costs for compressing and circulating hydrogen and favours naphtene dehydrogenations and dehydrocyclisation reactions (1.7 times from C8 to C4, 3.6 times from C4 to C2) (Delhi, 2013).  $H_2/HC$  ration is given by the equation below:

hydrogen: Hydrocarbon Ratio

Mples of H<sub>2</sub>in Recycle Gas =

Moles of Hydrocarbons

In order to calculate the catalyst volume or weight in each reactor, space velocity is needed and can be obtained using space velocity:

- Liquid hourly Space Velocity: LHSV ( $hr^{-1}$ ) = Volume /Hour of Reactor Charge Volume of Catalyst
- Weight Hourly Space Velocity: WHSV  $(hr^{-1}) =$ Weight  $/_{Hour}$  of Reactor Charge Weight of Catalyst

Volume of each reactor can be obtained using relation propose by Fuente (2015), where  $\varepsilon$  is an industrial bed void fraction of 0.5 as stated by Korsten and Hoffman (1996):

$$V_{\text{reactor}}$$
 (m<sup>3</sup>) =  $\frac{V_{\text{catalyst}}$  (m<sup>3</sup>)}{1-\epsilon}

# 3. MODELING OF CATALYTIC UNIT

As defined by Eykhoff (1974), a model is a representation of an essential aspects of an existing system (or designed) which represents knowledge of that system in usable form. It has objective to improve understanding of process and to optimize process design/operating conditions. FCCU and CRU are both process that depend on certain variables which can help to model according to the need.

Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit

Many modeling work has been published, each different because of researcher focus. Some of the researches are represented in the table below:

Author(s)	Title	Outcome	Sample of equation used
Pahwa and	CFD Modeling of FCC	The riser is considered as the most	Rate equation:
Gupta	Riser Reactor	import part of FCC process from a	$R_{i,r} = k_r C_i^n$
(2016)		modeling point of view. Simulation	(31)
		uses Eulerian-Eulerian approach, gas	Where, $k_r$ is rate constant for rth cracking reaction, $C_i$
		and solid energy equations and four	is concentration of ith species (kmol/m <sup>3</sup> ).
		lump kinetic schemes.	
Fadhil	Modeling and	The riser is considered as a plug flow	Concentration profile for gasoline lump:
2012	simulation of FCC	reactor incorporating the four lumps	$\frac{dy_2}{dy_2} = \frac{A\epsilon_g \rho_g}{(K V^2 - (K + K))}$
	risers	model for kinetics of cracking	$\frac{1}{dz} = \frac{1}{m_g} [K_1 I_1 - (K_1 + K_1) y_2]$
		reactions. Catalyst deactivation	5
		function is calculated based on linear	With Kj: Constants of cracking reactions
		Relationship between the catalyst	
F 1		coke content and its retention activity.	
Faray and	Simulation of Fluid	Simplification of the complicated	The model used in the present work may be written in the following formu
(1087)	catalytic Cracking	process variables and development of	the following form: $V = (C)^n$
(1987)	operation	a computer model to simulate the	$\frac{X}{1} = F\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)$ (WSHV) <sup>n-1</sup> exp <sup>2</sup> = ERT <sub>RX</sub> )
		conditions were both objectives of	1 - X  (0)
		this study. The model provides a good	n = 0.65 (decay exponent by the AMOCO model of
		base for troubleshooting and	Wallaston)
		debottlenecking and may be useful in	E: activation energy E independent of temperature and
		optimal control of the FCC.	catalyst hold-up.
		1	F: function coefficient and may be computed from
			known design conditions.
Ahsan	Prediction of gasoline	Granular Eulerian multiphase model	Chemical reaction rate for gasoline lump:
(2013)	yield in a fluid catalytic	with species transport are	$dy_1$ $(K + K) \times \sqrt{2} d$ $K = \sqrt{2} d$
	cracking (FCC) riser	implemented and predicted in this	$\frac{1}{dt} = -(K_1 + K_3)Y_1  \emptyset = -K_0 y_1^2  \emptyset$
	using k-epsilon	study. The breaking of heavy	With Kj: Constants of cracking reactions
	turbulence and 4-lump	hydrocarbon in the presence of	
	kinetic models: A	catalyst is demonstrated. An approach	
	computational fluid	proposed in this study shows good	
	Dynamics (CFD)	agreement with the experimental and	
-	approach	numerical data.	
de	Modeling of regenerator	In this study a model of FCC was	The equation below describes the mass balance of the
Almeida	units in fluid catalytic	developed, based on fluidized bed	elements present in the coke, typically considered
(2016)	cracking process	reactor, using gPROMS as modeling	E V.
		anguage. It has showed the necessity	
		of combustion of hydrogen in the	MW <sub>ck</sub>
		flow rate and air flow rate as	$= \frac{F_{c,out} Y_{k,out}}{\Gamma_{d}} \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \Psi_{I}(z_{d}) \Phi_{I}(z_{d}) \rho r_{du}(z_{d}) A_{D} dz_{d}$
		manipulated variables for regenerator	$MW_{ck}$ $J_0$
		control	$-\int_{-}^{L_d}     (z) \Phi(z) e^{z} (z) A dz$
			$\int_0^{\Phi_{\rm H}(z_{\rm d})\Phi_{\rm H}(z_{\rm d})\rho_{\rm p}} (z_{\rm d}) \rho_{\rm p} dz_{\rm d}$
			$\int_{L_f}^{L_f} dx = (x,y,y)$
			$-\int_{0} \Phi(z_{f}) \rho_{p} r_{ck}(z_{f}) A_{r} dz_{f}$

#### Table 1. Summary of some model of FCCU

# Catalytic Reforming Unit

Catalytic reforming process has been topic of many investigations. Improvement of the process is reached either by studying the effectiveness of catalysts, or studying kinetics and deactivation, or designing more efficient reactors. There is confusion amongst some researchers who want to find collective information on catalytic reforming process due to fact that the number of articles published is so much (Rahimpour, Jafari and Iranshashi, 2013). From 1949 many studies mainly based

research on three important axes (Rahimpour, et al., 2013):

- For better operational conditions and higher yield, study of reactor configuration and operating mode;
- For better selectivity, stability and performance, study on invention and/or investigation of new catalysts;
- For better kinetic and less deactivation, study of catalytic reforming nature.

Studies on catalysts have shown that catalysts used for catalysts reforming need to a bifunctional which consists of a metal (mainly platimium) and an acid function. These functions promote reactions in the process such as hydrogenation, dehydrogenation, isomerization and cyclization (Benitez and Pieck, 2010; Benitez, Mazzieri, Especel, Epron, Vera, Marecot, 2007). Adequate balance is then needed in order to reach optimum production of the process. To be able to optimize such process improvement of stability and selectivity of catalyst is the key

of good production, and should be coupled with reduction catalyst deactivation. Such target may be reached by modifying either the metal function or the acid function of the catalyst. Addition of a secondary or ternary metal component to platinum can modify metal function (Rahimpour, et al., 2013). Addition of components to the acid function, such as chloride, changes the strength and amount of support acid sites.

Kinetic modeling of catalytic reforming is a complex problem because of all the consideration that has to be taken: complexity of the feed (mixture of hydrocarbon) and multiplicity of reactions occurring (Marin and Froment, 1982; Marin, Froment, Lerou and De Backer, 1983).

Thereby, came up "lumped" models, in which the large number of chemical components are classified to smaller set of kinetic lumps. Some steps of the evolution of lumped models throughout the time are retraced in the table below:

 Table 2. Some steps of evolution in number of lumped components and number of reactions considered in catalytic naphtha reforming kinetic

References	Number of reactions	Number of lumped component
Smith (1959)	4	3
Jenkins and Stephens (1980)	78	31
Saxen, Das, Goyal and Kapoor (1994)	40	22
Padmavathi and Chaudhuri (1997)	48	26
Hu, Su and Chu (2004)	17	17
Weifeng, Hongye, Yongyou and Jian (2006)	17	18
Hongjun, Mingliang, Huixin and Hongbo (2010)	52	27
Wang, L; Zhang Q, Q; Liang, C; (2012)	86	38

Studies on reactor configuration and operational mode have suggested different process and reactors. For a process point of view, categorization of catalytic reforming units is done according to the catalyst regeneration procedure. This categorization proposes three main groups of process (Rahimpour, et al., 2013; Bell, 2001):

- Semi-regenerative catalytic reformer (SRR): the most used around the worldwide;
- Cyclic catalytic reformer;

Continuo us catalyst regeneration reformer (CCR).

Researchers have proposed various reactor configurations, each one having different advantages and disadvantages and all of them can be categorized according to the shape of the reactor and the entrance flow pattern of the feedstock as follow (Rahimpour, et al., 2013):

- Axial-flow tubular reactor;
- Radial-flow tubular reactor;
- Axial-flow spherical reactor;
- Radial-flow spherical reactor.

# 4. SUGGESTIONS

Due to the perpetual need of gasoline in the world and environmental issue that comes with, FCC and CR have to be improved. Although myriad of papers have been published on both topics, researcher still need investigate on the nature and heat production of reactions

occurring during processes. That obviously influences yield and production of main product. For further studies design and modeling of catalytic process more tests and many comparisons are required to asses any reactor sized or model developed. Models are built with different assumptions that can optimize. be parameters to Further researches can also be focused on catalyst as it does not give of volume yield optimal yet. Finally, as crude oil has different components, to validate a model required a study of applicability with different composition of feed.

# 5. CONCLUSION

For production of gasoline with high octane number, cracking and reforming of petroleum cut are very important. Element that make possible such production is actually catalyst. Catalysts play a role key in favorite process of gas and oil industry.

Among parameters that are used to design FCC, variables that involve catalyst are the main elements that influence the design. It is then imperative to keep investigating on catalyst as well for design as for modeling. Literature review has shown that fluidized bed reactor is the suitable reactor for conversion of gas oils into gasoline. Design with optimization of configuration of this reactor is then very important. Design of FCC involves design of one facility unit (fractionner) as well. As FCC, design of CR unit involves design of facilities such as furnace, catalyst and reactors design.

This paper had the objective of investigating the established papers on catalytic process, especially FCC and CR. Afterward the obtained results shows that impressive number of studies in both field have been published and some of them were presented all along this paper. To rule off this paper some suggestions were given for further researches.

### 6. **REFERENCES**

• Ahsan, M., 2015. Prediction of gasoline yield in a fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) riser using k-epsilon turbulence and 4-lump kinetic models: A

computational fluid. Journal pf King Saud University - Engineering Sciences, 27(2013), pp. 130-136.

- Arbel, A., Huang, Z. P., Rinnard, I. H. & Sarpe, A. V., 1995. Dynamic and control of fluidized catalytic crackers. Modeling of current generation of FCC's. *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, Volume 34(4), pp. 1228-1243.
- Barbosa, A. C. et al., 2013. *Three dimensional simulation of catalytic cracking reactions in an industrial scale riser using a 11-lump kinetic.* s.l., In AIDIC Conference Series, 11, 31-40.
- Bell, L., 2001. Worldwide refining. *Oil GAs J*, p. 46.
- Benitez, V. B. et al., 2007. Preparation of trimetallic Pt–Re–Ge/Al2O3 and Pt–Ir–Ge/Al2O3 naphtha reforming catalysts by surface redox reaction. *Appl. catal.*, pp. 319:210-7.
- Benitez, V. M. & Pieck, C. L., 2010. Influence of indium content on the properties of Pt– Re/Al2O3 naphtha reforming catalysts. *Catal Lett*, Volume 136, pp. 45-51.
- de Almeida, M. A. F., 2016. *Modeling of regenerator units in fluid catalytic cracking process*. Lisboa: Tecnico Lisboa.
- Delhi, I., 2013. http://petrofed.winwinhosting.net/upload/16-19March11/Day2/3\_V%20Srikanth.pdf.. [Online] Available at: • http://petrofed.winwinhosting.net/upload/16-19March11/Day2/3\_V%20Srikanth.pdf. [Accessed 13 September 2018].
- Eykhoff, P., 1974. *System identification: Parameter and state estimation*. London: Wiley-Interscience.
- Fadhil, W., 2012. *Modeling and simulation of FCC risers*. Iraq: Departement of Chemical Enginering, University of Technology.
- Faray, I. & Tsai, K.-Y., 1987. Simulation of Fluid Catalytic Cracking operation.. *Department of chemical Engineering*.
- Farshi, A., Shaiyegh, F., Burogerdi, S. H. & Dehgan, A., 2011. FCC process role in propylene demands. *Petroleum science and technology*, Volume FCC process role in propylene demands, pp. pp. 875-885.
- Fuente, J. R., 2015. Equipment Sizing and Costing. In: o. c. ware, ed. *Chemical Process Design*. Cantabria: s.n., pp. pp. 2-30.
- Gary, J. H. & Handwerk, G. E., 2001. James H. Gary and Glenn E.Petroleum Refining: Technology and Economics (4th ed.). ISBN 0-8247-0482-7 ed. s.l.:CRC Press.
- Grosdidier, P., Mason, A., Heinonen, P. & Vanhamaki, V., 1993. FCC Unit Reactor-Regenerator Control. *Comp. and Chem. Eng*, Volume 17(2), pp. 165-179.

- Guwahati, I., 2014. [Online] Available at: https://nptel.ac.in/courses/pdf/mod1 [Accessed 2018].
- Han, I., Riggs, J. B. & Chung, C. B., 2000. *Modeling of a fluidized catalytic process.* s.l.:Computers & Chemical Engineering and Processing, 24(2000), pp.1681-1687..
- Hongjun, Z., Mingliang, S., Huixin, W. & Hongbo., Z. L., 2010. Modeling and simulation of moving bed reactor for catalytic naphtha reforming. *Pet Sci Technol*, pp. 28:667-76.
- Hug, I., 1998. Design Control Studies on the Fluid Catalytic Cracking Process. Pasadena, California: Thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirement of Doctor of philosophy, California Institute of Technology.
- Hu, Y., Su, H. & Chu, J., 2004. *Modeling, Simulation and optimization of commercial naphtha catalytic reforming process.*. Hawaii, USAA, In: Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE conference on decision and control.
- Hu, Y. Y., Su, Y. H. & Ch, J., 2002. The research summarize of catalytic reforming unit simulation. *Contr. Intrum. Chem. Ind*, pp. 19-23.
- Jenkins, J. H. & Stephens, T. W., 1980. Kinetics of catalytic reforming. *Hydrocarbon Proc*, p. 1:163.
- Krosten, H. & Hoffman, U., 1996. Three-phase ractor model for hydrotreating in pilot tricklebed reactors. *AIChE Journal*, Issue 2004.
- Liang, K. M., Guo, H. Y. & Pan, S. W., 2005. A study of naphtha catalytic reforming reactor. *Journal of Zhejiang University*, p. p. 590.
- Litle, D., 1985. Catalytic reforming. In: *Catalytic reforming*. Tulsa, Okla: Penn well, p. p2.
- Marin, G. B. & Froment, G. F., 1982. Refortning of C6 hydrocarbons on a Pt–Al2O3 catalyst. *Chem Eng Sci*, pp. 37:759-73.
- Marin, G. B., Froment, G. F., Lerou, J. J. & De Backer, W., 1983. Simulation of a catalytic naphtha reforming unit. E.F.C.E., VOL. II, Cl 17, Paris; 1983.. *EFCE, Cl17*, Volume II, p. Publication series No. 27.
- Mohan, L., 2011. Catalytic Reforming Process. Indian Institute of Petroleum Management Gurgaon, Volume Catalysts and Reactors 6th summer School of Petroleum & Petrochemicals.

- Monge, J. J. & Georgakis, C., 1987. Multivariable Control of Catalytic Cracking Processes. *Chem. Eng. Communications*, Volume 197-225, pp. 61(1-6).
- Padmavathi, G. & Chaudhuri, K. K., 1997. Modeling and simulation of commercial catalytic naphtha reformers. *Can J Chem Eng*, p. 75:930.
- Pahwa, R. & Gupta, R., 2016. CFD Modeling of FCC Riser Reactor. *Int. Res. J. eng. Technol.*, pp. pp. 206-209.
- Rahimpour, M. R., Jafari, M. & Iranshashi, D., 2013. Prodress in catalytic naphtha reforming process. *Elsiever: Applied Energy*, Volume 109, pp. 79-93.
- Rao, B. K., 1990. Modern Petroleum Refining Processes (2nd Edition Ed.). SBN 81-204-0481-5. ed. s.l.:Oxford & IBH Publishers.
- Raseev, S., 2003. *Thermal and catalytic processes in petroleum refining.* CRC Press. ed. s.l.:s.n.
- Sadeghbeigi, R., 2012. Fluid catalytic cracking handbook: An expert guide to the practical operation, design, and optimization of FCC unit. Elsivier ed. s.l.:Elsivier.
- Saxena, A. K., Das, G., Goyal, H. B. & Kapoor, V. K., 1994. Simulation and optimisation package for semi-regenerative catalytic reformer. *Hydrocarbon Technol*, pp. 71-83.
- Smith, R., 1959. Kinetic analysis of naphtha reforming with platinum catalyst. *Chem Eng Prog*, pp. 55:76-80.
- Stephanopoulos, G., 1984. *Chemical process control.* Volume 2 ed. : New Jersey: Prentice hall.
- Taskar, U. M., 1996. *Modeling and optimization* of a catalytic naphtha reformer. Ph.D. dissertation ed. s.l.:Texas Tech University.
- Wang, L., Zhang Q, Q. & Liang, C., 2012. A 38lumped kinetic model for reforming reaction and its application in continuous catalytic reforming. *CIESC*.
- Weifeng, H., Hongye, S., Yongyou, U. & Jian, C., 2006. Modeling, simulation and optimization of a whole industrial catalytic naphtha reforming process on Aspen Plus platform. *Chin J Chem Eng*, pp. 14:584-91.

How to cite this article: Ngwanza MKD, Nkazi DB, Ngwanza HS et.al. Review of catalytic processes design and modeling: fluid catalytic cracking unit and catalytic reforming unit. International Journal of Research and Review. 2018; 5(11):136-143.

\*\*\*\*\*